## Vighnaharata Trust's

SHIVAJIRAO S. JONDHLE COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING \& TECHNOLOGY, ASANGAON.

## ACTION TAKEN REPORT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-17
FEEDBACK WAS REQUESTED FROM FOLLOWING STAKEHOLDERS:

| Sr. No. | Stakeholder | Count |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Student | 391 |
| 2. | Parent | 60 |
| 3. | Teacher | 40 |
| 4. | Alumni | 60 |


| Sr. No. | Stakeholder | Suggestion | Action Taken |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Student | Career Guidance program <br> should be conducted | Career Guidance \& Education <br> Program has been conducted. |
| 2. | Parent | Personality Development <br> program for should be <br> conducted | Personality Development \& Soft Skill <br> has been conducted. |
|  |  | Students are send to Internship |  |
| 3. | Teacher | FDP should be arranged | FDP on Application of Pinga lab has <br> been conducted. |
| 4. | Alumni | Should lay more emphasis on <br> Practical knowledge | Technical knowledge sharing with <br> students. |
|  |  | Workshops and seminars on <br> latest technology should be <br> conducted | Workshops and seminars on latest or <br> advance technology for students are <br> conducted. |

## Academic Year 2016-17

Parent Survey Analysis

Assessment Method-

| Feedback <br> Type | Description of the <br> assessment process | Assessment <br> Frequency | Assessed <br> By | Reviewed <br> By | Analysis Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parent | Feedback is sought from <br> Parent about facilities <br> Srovided by college in <br> regards of various <br> aspects. | Once in a year | Institute and <br> Department | Institute and <br> Department | To analyze positive <br> responses i.e. count <br> of <br> answers given by <br> Parent with |
|  |  |  |  | rating of $3 \&$ above <br> on the scale of 5. |  |

The following chart represents percentage of responses from Parent who have rated at 3 or above on a scale of 5 to the following questions.

| Sr. No. | Academic Year | No. of <br> Responses | No. of Positive <br> Responses | Feedback <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Are you satisfied with the student discipline <br> of the college? | 60 | 55 | 91.67 |
| 2. | Does your ward/ Institute regularly inform <br> you about her/his performance? | 60 | 54 | 90.00 |
| 3. | Are you satisfied with the quality of teaching <br> offered by the college? | 60 | 55 | 91.67 |
| 4. | Do you feel that the collage management has <br> been firm, fair and friendly? | 60 | 54 | 90.00 |
| 5. | Do you feel your ward has been given the <br> support he/she needs to succeed? | 60 | 55 | 91.67 |



## Academic Year 2016-17

## Employee/Teacher Survey Analysis

## Assessment Method-

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Feedback } \\ \text { Type }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Description of the } \\ \text { assessment process }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Assessment } \\ \text { Frequency }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Assessed } \\ \text { By }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Reviewed } \\ \text { By }\end{array} & \text { Analysis Method } \\ \hline \text { Employee/ } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Feedback is sought from } \\ \text { Employee/ Teachers } \\ \text { Teachers } \\ \text { Survey } \\ \text { about facilities provided } \\ \text { by college in regards of } \\ \text { various aspects. }\end{array} & \text { Once in a year } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Institute and } \\ \text { Department }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Institute and } \\ \text { Department }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { To analyze positive } \\ \text { responses i.e. count } \\ \text { of }\end{array} \\ \text { answers given by } \\ \text { employee/ Teachers } \\ \text { with }\end{array}\right\}$

The following chart represents percentage of responses from employee/ Teachers who have rated at 3 or above on a scale of 5 to the following questions.

| Sr. No. | Academic Year | No. of <br> Responses | No. of Positive <br> Responses | Feedback <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | The courses / syllabi taught by me have a <br> good balance between theory and application | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 2. | The objectives of the syllabi are well defined | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 3. | The books/journals etc. prescribed /listed as <br> reference materials are relevant, updated and <br> cover the entire syllabi | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 4. | The courses / syllabi of the subjects taught by <br> me increased my interest, knowledge and <br> perspective in the subject area | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 5. | The college has given me full freedom to <br> adopt new techniques / strategies of teaching <br> such as group discussions, seminar <br> presentations and learners' participation | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 6. | I have the freedom to adopt new techniques / <br> strategies of testing and assessment of <br> students | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 7. | Tests and examinations are conducted well in <br> time with proper coverage of all units in the <br> syllabus | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 8. | The prescribed books are available in the <br> Library in Sufficient numbers | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 9. | The environment in the College is conducive <br> to teaching and research | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 10. | The administration is teacher friendly | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 11. | The college provides adequate opportunities <br> and support to faculty members for <br> upgrading their skills and qualifications | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 12. | ICT facilities in the college are adequate and <br> satisfactory | 40 | 40 | 100 |


| Sr. No. | Academic Year | No. of <br> Responses | No. of Positive <br> Responses | Feedback <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. | The classrooms and labs are clean and well <br> maintained | 40 | 40 | 100 |
| 14. | Separate space in college Canteen is <br> available for Teachers | 40 | 39 | 97.5 |
| 15. | Toilets / washrooms are clean and properly <br> maintained | 40 | 40 | 100 |



## Academic Year 2016-17

## Alumni Feedback Analysis

Assessment Method-

| Feedback <br> Type | Description of the <br> assessment process | Assessment <br> Frequency | Assessed <br> By | Reviewed <br> By | Analysis Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alumni | Feedback is sought from <br> the alumni <br> through alumni meet, <br> furing their visits to the <br> Fampus, letters or emails <br> using the alumni <br> feedback forms covering <br> the various aspects. | Once in a year <br> of Alumni <br> Meeting and <br> Regularly | Institute and <br> Department | Institute and <br> Department | To analyze positive <br> responses i.e. count <br> of <br> answers given by <br> Alumni with <br> rating of $3 \&$ above <br> on the scale of 5. |

The following chart represents percentage of responses from Alumni who have rated at 3 or above on a scale of 5 to the following questions.

| Sr. No. | Academic Year | No. of Responses | No. of Positive Responses | Feedback <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Rate the teaching-learning process in the Institute / Department. | 60 | 56 | 93.33 |
| 2. | Rate the exposure to contemporary issues during your course of study in the institute. | 60 | 57 | 95.00 |
| 3. | Rate the exposure to industrial environment through our mechanisms such as industrial visits, in-plant/ vocational/ field training/ industry-institute interaction and guest lectures by experts from industry. | 60 | 56 | 93.33 |
| 4. | Rate your ability to identify, formulate and solve the problems in your profession based on knowledge acquired at the Institute. | 60 | 56 | 93.33 |
| 5. | To what extent have you benefited from initiatives, by the Institute/Department towards communication improvement? | 60 | 56 | 93.33 |
| 6. | Rate the development of leadership traits through various co-curricular and extra-curricular activities, during your course of study, in the Institute/ Department. | 60 | 56 | 93.33 |
| 7. | Rate the usefulness of facilities such as journals and e-journals and preparation for seminar and project work, during your course of study in the institute, for tuning towards lifelong learning. | 60 | 57 | 95.00 |
| 8. | Rate the exposure to values and ethics during your course of study in the Institute. | 60 | 56 | 93.33 |
| 9. | Rate the contribution of curricular, co-curricular and extra-curricular activities, during your course of study, in the institute/ department in building your ability to work, on multidisciplinary problems, as an individual or a member of a team. | 60 | 57 | 95.00 |
| 10. | Rate your overall experience as a student of College. | 60 | 57 | 95.00 |



Vighnaharata Trust's
Shivajirao S. Jondhle College of Engineering \& Technology, Asangaon Department of Computer Engineering
Feedback Report 2016-17
Name of Staff: Prof. Yogesh Shepal
Subject: Operating System
Class: T.E.
Sem: V

| Sr. No. | The course exposes to latest knowledge and practices | The course content illustrated with adequate examples | The course increased my interest in the subject | The course objectives are clear | The teacher was enthusiastic and punctual | The teacher was effective in communica ting the content of the course | Proper Class room control by teacher | Time manageme nt of teacher to finish syllabus was perfect | Teaching aids effectively used | Teacher Encouraged students for participatio n during lecture | Used words and expressions within students level of understandi ng | Conclusions /summariza tion made at end of topic | Overall rating For course: | Were objectives of the course realized? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | YES |
| 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | YES |
| 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 9 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | YES |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | YES |
| 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | YES |
| 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | YES |
| 13 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | YES |
| 14 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | YES |
| 15 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | YES |
| 16 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 17 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | YES |
| 18 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | YES |
| 19 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | YES |
| 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 21 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 22 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 23 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 24 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 25 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 26 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 27 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | YES |
| 28 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 29 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | YES |
| 30 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | YES |
| 31 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 32 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 33 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 34 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | YES |
| 35 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | YES |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 4.37 | 4.46 | 4.40 | 4.34 | 4.54 | 4.57 | 4.51 | 4.51 | 4.49 | 4.57 | 4.54 | 4.57 | 4.54 | 100 |
| 4.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F 4.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & E^{4.45} \\ & E^{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D 4.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & B^{4.35} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C 4.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | QUEST | TIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Faculty Name | Branch | Subject | Average Score | Percentage | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEM-IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Prof. Gavali Rajendra Raichand | Computer Engg | Applied Maths- III | 3.27 | 65.40\% | NEED TO IMPROVE |
| 2 | Prof. Shinde Vishal Rajaram | Computer Engg | Data Structure | 4.71 | 94.20\% | EXCELLENT |
| 3 | Prof. Pate Sumeet Subhash | Computer Engg | Discrete Structure | 3.99 | 79.80\% | GOOD |
| 4 | Prof. Shepal Yogesh Ramdas | Computer Engg | Object Oriented Programming Methodology | 4.46 | 89.20\% | VERY GOOD |
| 5 | Prof. Wani Swapnil Bhanudas | Computer Engg | Digital Logic Design and Analysis | 3.78. | 75.60\% | GOOD |
| 6 | Prof.Ashish Bhasare | Computer Engg | Electronic Circuits and Communication Fundamentals | 3.72 | 74.40\% | GOOD |
|  |  |  | SEM-VI |  |  |  |
| 1 | Prof. Kulkarni Prerna Shriniwas | Computer Engg | Computer Networks | 4.31 | 86.20\% | VERY GOOD |
| 2 | Prof. Shepal Yogesh Ramdas | Computer Engg | Operating Systems | 4.54 | 90.80\% | EXCELLENT |
| 3 | Prof. Rodge Pramod Ramdas | Computer Engg | Micro Processor | 4.29 | 85.80\% | VERY GOOD |
| 4 | Prof. Agrawal Akshay Shankar | Computer Engg | Structured \& Object Oriented Analysis And Design | 4.29 | 85.80\% | VERY GOOD |

SEM-VIII

| ( | Computer Engg | Digital Signal Processing | 4.05 | $81.00 \%$ | VERY GOOD |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Prof. Wani Swapnil Bhanudas | Computer Engg | Cryptography \& Systen Security | 3.89 | $77.80 \%$ | GOOD |
| 3 | Prof. Sushir Sunil Ashok | Computer Engg | Artificial Intelligence | 3.49 | $69.80 \%$ | NEED TO <br> IMPROVE |
| 4 | Prof. Nagar Ravi Ashok | Computer Engg | Image Processing | 4.05 | $81.00 \%$ | VERY GOOD |

Shivajirao S. Jondhle Cotlo.ge of Engineering \& TEL I,iviogy

Astangauh, Bist Thaine

| $\mathrm{Sr} .$ No | Faculty Name | Branch | Subject | Average Score | Percentage | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEM-IV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Prof. Gavali Rajendra Raichand | Computer Engg | Applied Maths-IV | 3.36 | 67.20\% | NEED TO IMPROVE |
| 2 | Prof. Shinde Vishal Rajaram | Computer Engg | Analysis of Algorithm | 4.79 | 95.80\% | f.XCELLENT |
| 3 | Prof. Pate Sumeet Subhash | Computer Engg | Computer Graphics | 4.48 | 89.60\% | VERY GOOD |
| 4 | Prof. Wani Swapnil Bhanudas | Computer Engg | Computer Organisation and Architecture | 4.17 | 83.40\% | VERY GOOD |
| 5 | Prof. Nagar Ravi Ashok | Computer Engg | DataBase Management Systems | 4.43 | 88.60\% | VERY GOOD |
| 6 | Prof. Agrawal Akshay Shankar | Computer Engg | Theoretical Computer Science | 4.78 | 95.60\% | EXCELLENT |
| SEM-VI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Prof. Angelin Zionia A. | Computer Engg | Mobile Communication and Computing | 3.88 | 77.60\% | GOOD |
| 2 | Prof. Kulkarni Prerna Shriniwas | Computer Engg | Software Engineering | 4.37 | 87.40\% | VERY GOOD |
| 3 | Prof. Shepal Yogesh Ramdas | Computer Engg | Distributed Database | 4.47 | 89.40\% | VERY GOOD |
| 4 | Prof. Wani Swapnil Bhanudas | Computer Engg | System Programming and Compiler Construction | 4.24 | 84.80\% | VERY GOOD |
| SEM-VIII |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Prof. Shepal Yogesh Ramdas | Computer Engg | Data Warchouse and Mining | 4.16 | 83.20\% | VERY GOOD |
| 2 | Prof. Kulkarni Prerna Shriniwas | Computer Engg | Human Machine Interaction | 3.9 | 78.00\% | GOOD |
| 3 | Prof. Sushir Sunil Ashok | Computer Engg | Parallel and Distributed System | 3.57 | 71.40\% | GOOD |
| 4 | Prof. Pate Sumeet Subhash | Computer Engg | Digital Forensic | 4.05 | 81.00\% | VERY GOOD |

